

Attorneys Eyes Only

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
       FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
 2
                  ASHEVILLE DIVISION
 3
   RICHARD L. CAMPBELL,
 4
 5
            Plaintiff,
                                   ) Case No.
                                   ) 1:17-cv-00129-MR-DLH
 6
       vs.
    SHIRLEY TETER and SINCLAIR
    COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
 8
            Defendants.
 9
10
    SHIRLEY TETER,
            Plaintiff,
11
                                   ) Case No.
12
                                   ) 1:17-cv-00256-MR-DLH
      vs.
13
    PROJECT VERITAS ACTION FUND,
    et al.,
14
            Defendants.
15
16
17
                 * *ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY* * *
18
19
        VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES E. O'KEEFE, III
20
            (Taken by Attorneys for Shirley Teter)
                 Winston-Salem, North Carolina
21
22
                 Wednesday, November 28, 2018
23
24
                   Reported in Stenotype by
                       Jana F. Collins
25
     Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription
```

```
1
                           APPEARANCES
 2
    ON BEHALF OF RICHARD L. CAMPBELL:
        VIRGINIA WOOTEN, Esquire (Via Telephone)
 3
        Cranfill, Sumner and Hartzog
        2907 Providence Road, Suite 200
 4
        Charlotte, North Carolina 28211
 5
        (704) 940-3401
 6
    ON BEHALF OF SHIRLEY TETER:
 7
        JONATHAN D. SASSER, Esquire
        PREETHA SURESH RINI, Esquire
 8
        JEREMY FALCONE, Esquire
        Ellis and Winters, LLP
        4131 Parklake Avenue, Suite 400
 9
        Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
10
        (919) 865-7000
11
        RALPH STREZA, Esquire
        Critchfield, Critchfield and Johnson, Ltd.
12
        4996 Foote Road
        Medina, Ohio 44256
13
        (330) 723-6404
    ON BEHALF OF PROJECT VERITAS, PROJECT VERITAS ACTION
14
    FUND and JAMES E. O'KEEFE, III:
15
        JAMES A. DEAN, Esquire
        MICHAEL MONTECALVO, Esquire (Via Telephone)
16
        Womble Bond Dickinson, LLP
        One West Fourth Street
17
        Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101
        (336) 721-3632
18
19
    ALSO PRESENT: BRAD CARTNER, Videographer
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CaseWorks, Inc.

1 Use a different name every time? Q 2 Α Not necessarily. Have you used as many as 200 fictitious names? Q 4 Α Possibly. 5 0 Has anybody referred to you as a provocateur? Α 6 Yes. 7 Q What do you understand that to mean? Someone who provokes. Α 8 9 Do you do that? 0 10 Α The work often does provoke reactions. 11 Do you deliberately provoke people? 0 12 I wouldn't characterize it as that, no. Α 13 How would you characterize it? Q 14 Α We accurately report what people say and do in 15 order to expose reality and give truth to the masses. 16 And in getting people to do that generally, 0 Project Veritas lies to them; is that right? 17 18 Α Not necessarily. In some case, we use an 19 alias. 20 In some case, you directly lie to the person 0 you're trying to provoke a statement from; is that 21 2.2 right? 23 MR. DEAN: Object. Misstates his prior 24 testimony. 25 Α Repeat the question.

```
1
                            Can you read that back?
               MR. SASSER:
 2
               (QUESTION WAS READ BACK BY COURT REPORTER)
 3
       Α
           I wouldn't characterize it as lying.
 4
           How would you characterize it?
           I suppose querrilla theater in order to elicit
 5
       Α
    truthful statements so that we can tell the truth to
 6
    the public because we believe that the truth is
 7
    paramount. And oftentimes, the only way to get to the
 8
    truth is to dig deep, the way that investigative
 9
10
    reporters have done for a hundred years.
11
           Are you saying that the only way to get to the
       0
12
    truth sometimes is to lie?
13
       Α
           I'm saying that Pulitzer price winning
14
    reporters for the last 100 years have made statements
    to that effect.
15
16
           Who?
       0
17
       Α
           Okay. Give me a minute to think. Veteran
18
    journalist Ken Auletta made that, a statement to that
    effect. William Gaines won the Pulitzer prize.
19
20
           What did William Gaines --
       0
21
               MR. DEAN: Hold on.
2.2
           -- win a prize for?
       0
23
               MR. DEAN: Let him finish his answer,
24
       please.
25
               MR. SASSER:
                            Okay.
```

```
1
           William Gaines won the Pulitzer prize for
       Α
    posing as a janitor for the Chicago Sun Times being
 2
    asked to assist with surgery. And there have been
    countless others throughout the 20th century. Upton
 4
 5
    Sinclair is considered a legend for misrepresenting
   himself.
 6
           Who's doing that now other than Project
 7
    Veritas?
 8
 9
           Very few people.
       Α
10
           Did Upton Sinclair dress up like a pimp?
       O
11
           I don't believe so.
       Α
12
           But you've done that, haven't you?
       O
13
       Α
           Yes.
14
           Did Mr. Gaines wear lederhosen?
       0
           I can't remember what he wore.
15
       Α
16
           But you've sent people on projects wearing
       0
17
    lederhosen, haven't you?
18
       Α
           Yes.
19
           So it's more theater than reporting, isn't it?
       Q
20
       Α
           No.
           Do you refer to your projects as
21
       Q
2.2
    investigations?
23
       Α
           Yes.
24
           What are you trying to find out in your
    investigations?
25
```

1	A	Sometimes.
2	Q	In the sting involving Shirley Teter, who was
3	the tar	rget?
4	A	I object to the characterization of what that
5	was. :	The investigation involved Democracy Partners.
6	Q	And were they the target?
7	A	They were the subject of the investigation.
8	Q	What's the difference between subject and
9	target	with regard to what Project Veritas does?
10	A	Nomenclature.
11	Q	Which one is accurate?
12	A	They're, I suppose they're used
13	intercl	hangeably in, in investigative journalism.
14	Q	Are they used interchangeably at Project
15	Veritas	5?
16	A	Occasionally.
17	Q	Is Project Veritas a news organization?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Does Project Veritas put its reporting in
20	context	t?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And you expect other organizations to add
23	context	t to their sources?
24	A	I don't know what you mean.
25	Q	You expect other news organizations to do

1 It's a issue of opinion as to whether or not 0 you're a journalist? Α It's not a matter of opinion. It's a fact 3 4 that we are. 5 What is it that Project Veritas does that makes its people journalists? 6 7 Α Well, we believe that journalism is an activity, not an identity. Journalism is something 8 9 that you do and the purest form of journalism is to 10 report people in their own words and to report that accurately to the masses. So therefore, we believe 11 12 that what we do is the purest form of journalism. 13 And you're aware that the vast majority of Q 14 journalists does not lie to people in order to get 15 quotes from them? Well, I would say the vast majority of the 16 Α 17 people that you may be referring to are not themselves 18 iournalists. 19 You're saying a reporter who is able to tell a 20 story without lying to his sources is not really a 21 iournalist? 22 No, that's not what I'm saying. Α 23 What are you saying? 0 24 Α I'm saying that many of the people in the 25 media may be pundits or commentators or aggregators.

```
1
           So it's not really fair to say whether
       0
    somebody's a journalist or not?
       Α
           I'm doing my best, but I would prefer to, to,
 3
    to clarify that the question of whether or not you are
 4
 5
    a journalist depends upon what it is that you do, not
   who you are.
 6
 7
       0
           What you're doing at a particular moment?
           What you're doing in a particular reporting
       Α
 8
    assignment or investigation.
 9
10
           So of all the people you've listed as
       0
    journalists, do you know of any of them ever using a
11
12
    fictitious name?
13
       Α
           Yes.
14
           Who?
       Q
15
       Α
           Well, Gunter Wallraff has done that.
16
       0
           All right. Anyone else?
17
       Α
           Yes.
18
           Who?
       Q
           I'm trying to remember the specific instances.
19
       Α
20
   ABC News has done that.
21
           Who at ABC News has done that?
       0
           There was a show called Primetime Live. Diane
22
       Α
23
    Sawyer was the, the anchor of the program.
24
       0
           So who used a fictitious name?
25
           I don't remember the names of the reporters on
       Α
```

```
1 the assignment, on that particular assignment.
```

- 2 O All right. Other than that, out of all the
- 3 | journalists you've named, who has used a fictitious
- 4 | name?
- 5 A The Chicago Sun Times in the 1970s conducted a
- 6 number of newspaper investigations. I believe it was
- 7 | a, a bar called the Mirage that they owned and
- 8 operated.
- 9 Q Did those people use fictitious names?
- 10 A In, in various circumstances, yes.
- 11 Q Of the people you've named as journalists,
- 12 | which of them have worn disguises?
- 13 A Well, the most famous example of that would be
- 14 | Gunter Wallraff who's considered by almost everyone to
- 15 be a journalist.
- 16 O Has Ronan Farrow ever, ever worn a disquise on
- 17 | a story?
- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q What about Jane Mayer?
- 20 A I don't know.
- 21 | O What about Mr. Rosen?
- 22 A I don't know.
- 23 | O What about Joel Pollak?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q What fictitious names have you used?

- 1 me. I believe he worked for People for the American
- 2 Way. I, I'd have to double check what the name of the
- 3 entity was.
- 4 Q Have you heard of an organization called
- 5 | Americans United for Change?
- 6 A American United for Change, that was the one,
- 7 yes. My mistake.
- 8 | Q Is that some sort of offshoot of People for
- 9 | the American Way?
- 10 A No, I don't, I don't think they're connected.
- 11 | I'd, I'd forgotten the name of the entity until you
- 12 just said it.
- 13 | Q Okay. Do you know who's in charge of
- 14 | Americans United for Change?
- 15 A I did know that. I don't remember that here
- 16 | right now.
- 17 Q It wasn't Mr. Foval though?
- 18 A I don't believe so. I believe he had a
- 19 position of authority there of some type.
- 20 Q What was the relationship between Americans
- 21 | United for Change and Democracy Partners?
- 22 A Well, I recall Foval saying something to the
- 23 effect of wear the white hat and Bob Creamer is the
- 24 | dark hat, descriptions made by Mr. Foval about his
- 25 relationship with Bob Creamer.

- 1 That was in the April 2016 interview? 0 2 Α Yes. Do you know what relationship, if any, Q 4 Democracy Partners had with the Hillary Clinton 5 campaign in 2016? I, I, I've learned, I've learned that they did 6 7 indeed have a relationship. What was that? 0 8 9 Well, I -- it would be great if I could refer 10 to the transcript of Foval. In the video, he 11 references how the Hillary Clinton campaign, the DNC or there's some relationship between the Hillary 12 13 Clinton campaign, the DNC, Democracy Partners. 14 There's a, there's a connection there, funding 15 connection that helps implement activities. Recall some language about Hillary knows about 16 0 17 it through the chain of command? 18 Yes. Α 19 And who said that? 0 20 Α That was Bob Creamer, the head of Democracy 21 Partners. 2.2 So that was later, that was not the original 0 23 Foval interview?
- Correct. There was multiple series of 24 Α 25 meetings that occurred.

```
1
    that sometimes our journalists have sources and I
    don't know the extent of everyone that they talk to.
 2
    But to repeat, we don't report anything unless you can
    see and hear the people on tape saying it. That's my
 4
 5
    responsibility to ensure that we accurately report the
    content and the context of the people that we interact
 6
           So ultimately, I would be ultimately
 7
   with.
    unconcerned if I did learn of those surprises.
 8
 9
           It's easier for you to supervise people at
10
   headquarters than it is the journalists on the field,
11
    isn't it?
12
       Α
           Not necessarily.
13
           If you were to try to find out who the eighth
       Q
14
   person imbedded as you told Mr. Hannity was, who would
15
    you, what records would you need to consult?
           I would have to take a look at old e-mails.
16
       Α
17
    I'd have to consult our chief compliance officer about
18
   whether or not these records still even exist.
   would also say that I think it's unethical for me to
19
20
    disclose -- it's -- I, I, I will do so, but I
21
   personally and my conscience objects to having to
    disclose the identity of our sources. If this was
22
23
    done in any other context, any investigative
24
    journalist worth their soul would object to having to
25
    identify some of the sources they used to obtain the
```

```
1
           Oh, excuse me, excuse me. I understand.
       Α
                                                       The,
 2
    that would be Allison Maass.
 3
           Talking to Bob Creamer?
       Q
 4
       Α
           That is correct.
 5
       0
           All right. And Bob Creamer is the guy with
    the suspenders that we're looking at now?
 6
           Yes.
 7
       Α
           All right.
 8
       0
 9
                      (Video Plays)
10
           Who's talking?
       Q
11
           That's me.
       Α
12
           All right. And who wrote what you're saying
       0
13
    there?
14
       Α
           Joe Halderman.
         All right. Continue.
15
       Q
16
                      (Video Plays)
17
       Q
           Now you made a cut from -- you were talking
18
    about Shirley Teter to that's Mr. Foval?
19
       Α
           Yes.
2.0
           Saying she was one of our activists?
       0
21
       Α
           Yes.
2.2
           How did you know he was talking about her?
       O
23
           May I see the transcript of the surrounding
       Α
24
    minutes associated with this video so that I may
25
    properly and accurately answer your question?
```

```
1
           I believe I've seen it but not for a long
       Α
 2
    time.
 3
           Did you mention earlier that you've seen a
       Q
 4
    transcript yesterday?
 5
       Α
           Yes.
           And that's something other than this?
 6
       0
           Yeah, it wasn't identical to this. It may
 7
       Α
   have been a consolidated version.
 8
 9
           Okay.
       0
10
           I just need to read these sheets of paper here
       Α
11
    that are relevant to this video. Okay. Can you ask
12
    me your question again? I'll do my best to --
13
               MR. SASSER: Can you read back the
14
       question?
15
               (QUESTION WAS READ BACK BY COURT REPORTER)
16
           Well, based upon the interactions between the
       Α
    journalist, our journalist and Scott Foval, he was
17
18
    clearly referring to her in the -- while he didn't
19
   mention her by name based upon the conversations that
20
    they have, Scott Foval was referring to Miss Teter.
           The transcript in front of you has no
21
       0
2.2
    reference to Shirley Teter, does it?
23
           It does.
       Α
24
           You see the name Shirley Teter? Can you show
25
   me the line?
```

- 1 A Oh, no, I don't see the name.
- 2 Q Okay.
- A I do see references to, to the, to, to Miss
 4 Teter.
- 5 O Okay. Tell me where the reference is.
- A Yes. The, the -- on page 42 of the transcript
- 7 of the conversation between Christian Hartsock and
- 8 | Scott Foval, it says from the lady who got
- 9 cold-cocked, cold-cocked. That was you guys, I'm
- 10 reading the transcript. That's the kind of shit,
- 11 | that's the kind of shit that he and I tickles him.
- 12 | Scott Foval confirms that the incident in question was
- 13 the stuff that they do. On page 80, they refer to
- 14 what do you do to punch an old lady. Elderly, old
- 15 lady confirms that it's an elderly individual later in
- 16 life. This meeting occurred three days, I'm sorry,
- 17 | three days within the incident in question at the
- 18 | rally. So it was in the news and the journalists and
- 19 | Scott Foval are clearly referencing that situation
- 20 that happened days previous. And Scott Foval
- 21 references on page 83 of the transcript, this is where
- 22 | he says, pardon me one second as I find it. That this
- 23 person, she, North Carolina was one of our activists
- 24 | who had been quote, "trained up to birddog."
- 25 Q I'm sorry. We're on page 83?

1 A 83.

Α

8

- 2 Q Where's it say North Carolina?
- A North Carolina is on page 42.
- 4 Q How do you know they're talking about the same 5 person?
- 6 A It says cold-cocked. That was you guys.
- 7 Q Does that say North Carolina?
- 9 journalist and the subject in the same -- this is from

It says in the conversation between the

- 10 this, this interaction between the journalists and
- 11 | Scott Foval. They're clearly referring to someone in
- 12 North Carolina in the same meeting that occurred for,
- 13 I don't know how long it was. I suppose a couple of
- 14 hours, maybe less. They're referring to people that
- 15 | Scott Foval says that he trained. It's unclear
- 16 whether they were paid or not, but again Scott Foval
- 17 | is making these claims about somebody in North
- 18 | Carolina.
- 19 Q Do you see anything on page 83 about North
- 20 | Carolina?
- 21 A Not on page 83, no.
- 22 Q And you referred to an elderly lady on page
- 23 | 80?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q You see there at the bottom of the page, can

1 Because I believe journalism is an activity, Α not an identity, and we should evaluate the media or 2 3 journalism entity by the fruits of what they do. Did Sinclair end up having the opportunity to 4 0 5 be the first to break the story? I believe they did. 6 Α And did they take that opportunity? 7 Q They did not. Α 8 9 What was the expression you used? Did they, 0 10 they cut you loose or whatever? What was the -- do you remember what you said? 11 12 I'm sorry? Α 13 What, what was it that Sinclair did? Q 14 Α They chose not to launch the story. 15 Q Let me show you. 16 (Video Plays) Spiked. That's you talking about Sinclair? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. 19 What do you mean by they spiked the story? Q 20 Spiked means to cancel a story or to choose Α not to publish a story oftentimes right before that, 21 22 that was supposed to happen. 23 Why did they do that? 0 24 Α Well, let me think. I'm not certain. I was 25 never able to ascertain with certainty why they did

- 1 | it.
- 2 Q Did anybody ever tell you why they did it?
- A I did have a conversation with someone there
 and he made some statements.
- 5 0 Who was that?
- 6 A His name was John Solomon.
- 7 Q And what did Mr. Solomon say?
- 8 A I don't -- I'm assuming you have the exhibit.
- 9 If I can look at it, otherwise, I'll have to just
- 10 paraphrase.
- 11 | Q Okay.
- 12 A It was him saying he had Bob Creamer in his
- 13 offices right now. This is when he spoke to me. And
- 14 he said sometimes these decisions are made, I believe
- 15 he said something to the effect of at a higher up
- 16 level and it was circumstances not in his control,
- 17 | something to that effect.
- 18 Q What was Mr. Solomon's position at Sinclair
- 19 | Media?
- 20 A I believe he was the C, chief operations
- 21 officer of Circa which is an arm of Sinclair.
- 22 \ Q What do they do?
- 23 A They're investigative journalists.
- 24 Q At the same time that you were having trouble
- 25 | with Sinclair spiking the story, were you also having

```
1
           And as a result, they did not run the story,
       0
    right?
 3
       Α
           I don't know what that resulted from.
           You were aware that Mr. Creamer was in Mr.
 4
       0
 5
    Solomon's office, right?
       Α
           Yes.
 6
 7
       Q
           Are you familiar with a practice of
    journalists giving a subject of one of their stories
 8
 9
    the opportunity to respond?
10
       Α
           Yes.
11
       0
           And you don't adhere to that?
12
           Well, the extent to our ethical obligation is
       Α
    to report accurately what we hear and see coming out
13
14
    of the subject's own mouth. That is their, that often
15
    is their response.
16
           And if they say something about a third-party,
       0
17
    you don't have any obligation to investigate that?
18
           Not in the discipline of what we do, no.
19
           No matter how innocent that person may be?
       0
20
           In this case, the story is about Scott Foval
       Α
    and claims that he made. That's what the story is
21
22
    about.
23
           And if Scott Foval had said Shirley Teter is
24
    one of our prostitutes, you have no obligation to
25
    follow-up on that?
```

1	A That would, that, that we make	
2	determinations about what is newsworthy and, and as	
3	evidenced by this New York Times story, it's fairly	
4	newsworthy what these people were saying and doing and	
5	claiming. It was very, it was so newsworthy that it	
6	made CNN. The other, the other parts of the material	
7	rose to that standard. So our ethical obligation	
8	extends to reporting accurately what we see and what	
9	we hear and that rises well far above and beyond the	
10	ethical obligations of traditional journalists do not	
11	disclose their sources. They don't even disclose raw	
12	transcripts of their interviews with sources. So	
13	we're being held to a standard that is well above and	
14	beyond the standard that is expected of any	
15	award-winning journalist.	
16	Q By October the 21st, you knew that Shirley	
17	Teter denied being a birddogger, didn't you?	
18	A I don't recall.	
19	Q Well, if you look at this e-mail you sent	
20	around to your counselors, you see that Shirley Teter	
21	denied to the New York Times that she had any protest	
22	training. You see that?	

23 A Okay.

Q I mean, you read it before you sent it around to your counselors, didn't you?

24

25

1	STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
2	COUNTY OF FORSYTH
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	I, Jana Collins, a Notary Public in and for
5	the State of North Carolina, do hereby certify that
6	there came before me on Wednesday, the 28th day of
7	November, 2018, the person hereinbefore named, who was
8	by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing
9	but the truth of his knowledge concerning the matters
10	in controversy in this cause; that the witness was
11	thereupon examined under oath, the examination reduced
12	to typewriting under my direction, and the deposition
13	is a true record of the testimony given by the
14	witness.
15	I further certify that I am neither attorney
16	or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any
17	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or
18	financially interested in the action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand,
20	this the 11th day of December, 2018.
21	Jana Collins
22	Jana Jana
23	
24	Jana Collins, Notary Public
25	Notary Number: 200733100028